**Intelligent Transportation Systems Joint Program Office (ITS JPO)**

**Meetings Survey: Applications for the Environment: Real-Time Information Synthesis (AERIS) Transformative Concept Workshop**

Please take a few moments to complete the following survey. Your feedback will assist ITS JPO in better accommodating you and other stakeholders during future meetings.

**PART I – TECHNICAL SURVEY**

1. Please provide feedback on the AERIS Transformative Concepts presented at the Workshop that may not have been communicated during the afternoon break-out sessions.
   1. *Eco-Signal Operations:*
   2. *Eco-Lanes:*
   3. *Low-Emissions Zone:*
   4. *Support AFV Operations:*
2. Are there other Transformative Concepts that AERIS should consider? If so, please provide a brief overview of the Transformative Concept.

**PART II – WORKSHOP LOGISTICS SURVEY**

1. How did you hear about this meeting? (Please select one)

E-mail

Twitter

Federal Register

ITS JPO Website

RITA Facebook page  
 LinkedIn

Word of Mouth

Other:

1. Please rate your level of satisfaction with the following aspects of the meeting. Use a scale of 1 to 5, where 1 is “Very Dissatisfied” and 5 is “Very Satisfied.”

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Meeting Aspect** | **Very Satisfied (5)** | **Satisfied (4)** | **Not Satisfied nor Dissatisfied (3)** | **Dissatisfied (2)** | **Very Dissatisfied (1)** |
| Meeting registration process |  |  |  |  |  |
| Accuracy of details provided about the meeting |  |  |  |  |  |
| Helpfulness of details provided about the meeting |  |  |  |  |  |
| Meeting location (Washington, D.C.) |  |  |  |  |  |
| Hotel location within the city |  |  |  |  |  |
| Overall quality of the hotel |  |  |  |  |  |
| Quality of meeting rooms |  |  |  |  |  |
| Quality of hotel rooms |  |  |  |  |  |
| Quality of hotel services |  |  |  |  |  |

1. In the future, in which cities would you like to see meetings located? (List up to 3.)
2. Was the meeting date convenient for you?  Yes  No
3. How could we have better accommodated you regarding the meeting date?

1. What did you think about the length of the meeting?

Meeting was too long.

Meeting was too short.

Meeting was an acceptable length.

1. Please rate your level of agreement/disagreement with the following statements. Use a scale of 1 to 5, where 1 is “Completely Disagree” and 5 is “Completely Agree.”

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Meeting Aspect** | Very Satisfied (5) | Satisfied (4) | Not Satisfied nor Dissatisfied (3) | Dissatisfied (2) | Very Dissatisfied (1) |
| The content of the meeting was easy to understand. |  |  |  |  |  |
| There was sufficient audience participation during the meeting. |  |  |  |  |  |
| I feel that my comments were heard and understand. |  |  |  |  |  |
| All of my questions were answered. |  |  |  |  |  |

1. How might we better communicate with the community in the future?

Please feel free to give us general comments about this meeting and meetings in the future:

**Please return to Adam Hopps:** [**ahopps@itsa.org**](mailto:ahopps@itsa.org) **or**

**Fax it to: 1 202 484 3483, attention: Adam Hopps (Meetings)**